



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/511,343	04/11/2005	Jacques Mallet	3665-122	3751
23117 7590 03/22/2006			EXAMINER	
NIXON & VANDE		SAJJADI, FEREYDOUN GHOTB		
901 NORTH GLEBE ARLINGTON, VA		OOR	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBÉR
,			1633	

DATE MAILED: 03/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/511,343	MALLET ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Fereydoun G. Sajjadi	1633			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES as ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>11 Ag</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar	action is non-final.	secution as to the merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 35-67 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 35-67 are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2.	epted or b) objected to by the fidal drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	te of References Cited (PTO-892) the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) the No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 1633

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 35-67 are pending in the application. Claims 1-34 were cancelled and new claims 35-67 added by the preliminary amendment of October 15, 2004.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

A plasmid or recombinant virus, as recited in claim 50. A plasmid and a virus are each structurally and functionally distinct, with distinct technical features, each capable of separate utility. The search and examination of plasmid and virus is not coextensive and would constitute undue burden.

A specific virus, either a replication defective Adeno, AAV, retrovirus or lentivirus, as recited in claim 51. Adeno, AAV, retrovirus and lentivirus are structurally and functionally distinct, having different infectivity and expression profiles. The search and examination of said distinct viruses is not coextensive and would constitute undue burden.

A specific transgene, as recited in claim 52. The growth factor, cytokine, immunoglobulin, etc. are each structurally and functionally distinct, exhibiting different mechanisms of action and each capable of separate use. The search and examination of said transgenes is not coextensive and would constitute undue burden.

A specific human disease, as recited in claim 56. Parkinson, ALS, Huntington's and retinal degenerative disease, are each distinct, having different disease etiologies. The search and examination of said distinct neurodegenerative diseases is not coextensive and would constitute undue burden.

Art Unit: 1633

A human or rodent cell, as recited in claim 61. A human and a rodent cell are each distinct, having different structural and physiological features. The search and examination of said distinct human and rodent cell is not coextensive and would constitute undue burden.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Claims 50-52, 56, and 61, and claims dependent therefrom correspond to all the species listed above.

The following claim(s) are generic: 50-52, 56, and 61.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: As the technical features (as for example: plasmid, lentivirus, Parkinson's disease, cytokine and rodent cell) linking the members do not constitute a special technical feature as defined by PCT Rule 13.2, particularly since each of the species does not share a substantially common structural feature or function, the requirement for unity of invention is not fulfilled.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1633

Thus, it would be unduly burdensome for the examiner to search and examine all the claimed inventions being sought in the pending claims.

Applicant is advised that the reply for this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications regarding the formalities should be directed to Patent Analyst William Phillips, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0548.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fereydoun G. Sajjadi whose telephone number is (571) 272-3311. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday, between 7:00 am-4:00 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave T. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-0731. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989).

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 1633

For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at (800) 786-

9199.

Fereydoun G. Sajjadi, Ph.D. Examiner, USPTO, AU 1633

The same of the sa

DAVE TRONG NGUYEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER